

TOWN OF ALLENSTOWN
Budget Committee
Allenstown, New Hampshire 03275

Minutes of Workshop
December 20, 2012

Call to Order.

The Allenstown Budget Committee Meeting for December 20, 2012 was called to order by the Chair at 6:15p.m.

Roll Call.

Present on the Board: Larry Anderson, Carol Merrill, Robin Richards, Mike Frascanella, Jeff Gryval, Dave Eaton, Carl Caporale, Lisa Komm, Penny Touchette, Roger LaFleur and Jerry McKenney.

Tom Gilligan – Unexcused
Dave Coolidge

Others Present.

Residents of Allenstown:

Others Present:

Other Public Officials: Tom Irzyk, Carl Schaffer, Karen LaPlume; School Board

Allenstown Staff: Shaun Mulholland, APD and Robert Martin, FPD.

Discussion of School Board Budget

Mrs. Touchette informed everyone present that she would like to address some rumors about reopening town budgets and stated that those budgets that have been approved will not be reopened for further discussion and that if any member of the Budget Committee would like to discuss them to please say so and they will put it on the agenda for a later date. She stated that the purpose of the meeting tonight is to discuss the School Board budget.

Mr. Irzyk stated that the Budget Committee had asked some questions at the last meeting which he has since forwarded to them and asked if they had any further questions regarding the information. Mr. Anderson asked about the reason for different class sizes. Mr. Irzyk stated that that is because they reduced the amount of teachers.

Mr. Caporale asked Mr. Irzyk about the information that the Department of Education has listing Allenstown as having 10:1 student/teacher ratio and if that was accurate. Mr. Irzyk stated that that is not accurate based on the numbers he gave them. He stated that the information based on that website is not always correct. He stated that he has provided them with actuals and that they had changed the classroom sizes based on the number of students per class with the reduction of teachers they had last year. Mr. Irzyk distributed a report that breaks the classroom sizes down by grade level. He stated that they have a total of 266 AES, 134 ARD, 154 PA with total student of 554. Mr. Caporale stated that with those numbers the ratio would be 11:1 student/teacher ratio.

Mr. Irzyk stated that they had asked for a breakdown of special education. He stated that there are 10 IEP in district and 3 in process in preschool, AES they have 44 identified with 3 in the process and 1 out of district, ARD there are 25 identified and one in process. He stated that there are 4 students that go to the Bridge Program, 28 identified at Pembroke Academy, 6 out of district placements at PA and a couple of charter schools that have 3 identified and one in process. He stated that gives them a total of 92 identified special education students and the State has them at 15% of their students in the special education program.

Mr. Irzyk stated that they had asked him for a breakdown of the State average of the total cost per student. He stated that Allenstown's cost per student is \$15,391.56 and that the State's average is \$13,413.70 and that the average is for all the schools in the state. He stated that the State does not take into account for the identified students and special needs but that they included the costs for that in their town average.

Ms. Komm asked what the Bridge Program is that he had mentioned. Mr. Irzyk stated that the Bridge Program is an alternative middle school for students who need help transitioning into Pembroke Academy. Ms. LePlume stated that it helps keep some of the students in the district which saves them the cost of sending them out of district. Mr. Caporale about the special education teachers not being able to help the students in house and having to send them out as a less costly program. Mr. Irzyk stated that the program is in Pembroke which allows them to save the cost of out of districts. He stated that there are four students that are in the Bridge Program.

Mr. Caporale asked if the students that are coded for the Bridge Program are on the same process of being tested every three years and how long the program is for. Mr. Irzyk stated that they are evaluated on the same three year process. He also stated that it varies how long a student is in the Bridge Program. He stated that there are other programs that they have started to send students there if the Bridge Program doesn't work out. Mr. Caporale asked what the ratio of students that comes out of the program after they are coded. Ms. Bickford explained that every year there is an annual meeting to make sure that the goals are set for the next year and that they can make changes and amendments to a student's I.E.P if they have made significant progress.

Mr. Caporale asked if the three year process is a State requirement and if it can be done every two years. Ms. Bickford explained that it is very costly for them to do an evaluation which is why they do it every three years. She stated that an evaluation is different than a discussion, which they do every year, because of the tests that have to be done. Mr. Anderson asked how many students have come out of the Bridge Program after one or two years. Mr. Irzyk stated that the program is very successful and that they have seen students that have come out of the process and some who have stayed in. He stated that it is saving the Town a lot of money because they don't have to send students out of district.

Mr. LaFleur asked Mr. Irzyk for an explanation for a School in Need and how the School in Need is classified according to the State. Mr. Irzyk stated that there are State Standards that they have to meet. He stated that a School in Need and a District in Need of Improvement has to meet a scoring level for the No Child Left Behind.

Ms. Bickford stated that School in Need of Improvement is a designation after you have missed Annual Yearly Progress for three years. She explained that if you have missed the AYP for three years, you are listed as a School in Need of Improvement. She stated that this year, 76% of New Hampshire's schools are listed as Need of Improvement. She explained that this is because of the federal legislation No Child Left Behind and that 100% of all students will be proficient at grade level by 2015. She stated that this is an impossible requirement which is why the Commissioner of Education has asked the federal government for a waiver and to only look at 15% of the schools.

Ms. Bickford stated that all of the students take the NECEP (Northeast Comprehension Evaluation Program) test which is where they have to be proficient. She stated that the State set the targets for every two years because in 2015 all students have to be at 100%. Mr. LaFleur asked how many years has Allentown School District met the goals. Ms. Bickford stated that Armont R. Dupont met the AYP last year and if they meet it again for the fall testing that was done; they will come off the list for a School in Need of Improvement. There was discussion of the improvements that the schools have made in order to meet the AYP.

Mr. LaFleur asked if in the last five years the School Board has only met the AYP one year, how they are going to assure the taxpayers that this isn't a one-time appearance and how they are going to sustain this. Mr. Irzyk stated that if they look at the numbers and the trend, they will see that they are at an upward trend. He stated that they have implemented new programs and groups to help continue that upward trend. He stated that the new programs have been trending upwards.

Mr. Caporale asked if 76% of the schools in the State are in Need of Improvement, what schools are meeting their goals. Ms. Bickford stated that in their own SAU, Chichester is the only school is not a school in Need of Improvement. She stated that Chichester does a lot of invention for

their students because they are a small school. She stated that subgroups need to be more than 11 students and they are not which is why Chichester doesn't have that count against them.

Mr. LaFluer stated that as far as the State averages cost wise per pupil and looking at the cost for Chichester of \$13,000 per pupil which tells them that the dollar per pupil doesn't dramatically influence the performance of the students. He stated that putting more money in the pot per student doesn't seem to be the answer. He explained that Deerfield is spending the same amount of money per pupil as Chichester and Chichester is the only school in the SAU that is not a school in Need of Improvement.

Mr. Irzyk responded that he counts that off to the staff teaching their students every day. He stated that the administration and the staff work well together. He stated that he thinks what they see and what they do and how they see their kids making progress is in direct relation to the staff and the programs they put into place.

Mrs. Touchette asked Mr. Irzyk if Allenstown compared to Deerfield and Chichester percentage of special education is greater than, less or equal to them. Mr. Irzyk stated that he doesn't not know that but that the Chichester is a smaller subset than Allenstown and that Deerfield has a higher need than Allenstown. He stated that they do different programs than Deerfield and have been trying different avenues to move those numbers.

A School Board member stated that she came on board two years ago and that when she came, they established a new leadership team and they wrote a School in Need of Improvement plan that included some significant changes to the instructional practices in Allenstown. She explained that one of the significant changes was the attention to their core curriculum which is the basis of what they are teaching in math and reading and delivering that with fidelity. She stated that many teachers were doing well with the Saxon Math program and the Imaginative Reading program but many of them had not had a lot of training.

The School Board member stated that the educators did the best they could with the program they were handed. She explained that they focused on training for both programs and discussed ways to offer the same instruction in grade one so that all grade one classes would have the same opportunities. She stated that this group of educators got right on board with that core curriculum. She stated that the educators have worked together and discuss how to progress and make their instruction more meaningful. She stated that they are not going to see instant results overnight but that they will see small changes and that is what is seen at ARD.

Mr. Anderson asked the School Board member to clarify what she was saying because he understood her to say was that they were putting teachers together and that they were not doing their jobs. The School Board member clarified that with the demands of the No Child Left

Behind and the need to raise the bar, it required significant change to their practices which they were able to get on board and do so.

Mr. Irzyk stated that the difference between the proposed budget and the default budget is about \$38,000. He stated that the first goal in mind is the education of the students in the community and that the second is to do that responsible manner to the taxpayers. He stated that he thinks they have been able to do that. He explained that in the last ten years they have been able to manage their budget and be responsible to the taxpayers and turn money back to the town. He stated that they have been able to manage within the budget of what they have asked for every year. He asked the Budget Committee to take into consideration the history of the School Board and how they have managed things and progress they have made in the schools.

Mr. Caporale stated that he is always the first to say how well the School Board does but that they have to look at it from a tax rate side of things. He stated that they need to keep the costs under control and that they understand the School Board's point and that this stuff is important. He stated that there are taxpayers who can't afford the tax rates and that is why the School Board has worked with the Budget Committee to come in under default. Mr. Irzyk stated that they could come in at default but that they had things that were a priority this year that they think that they need. He stated that they have made a lot of sacrifices in their budget this year as the other departments have done.

Mr. Richards asked Mr. Irzyk about the special placements and if the code 102 includes ARD, PA, and Pace. Mr. Irzyk stated that 102 is ARD, PA, and Pace. Mr. Richards asked what is inclusive of the Special Placements. Mr. Irzyk stated that special placement within that is out of district placement. Mr. LaFleur asked about this year's expenses being \$800,000 and that they are asking for \$902,000. Mr. Irzyk stated that that is based on the actual expenses that they know about.

Mr. F asked about the surplus history is taxes that were assessed but not spent. Mr. Irzyk stated that was money that was taken in for the budget and returned back to offset taxes. Mr. F stated that they can't take it into the budget unless it was assessed or collected. Mr. Irzyk stated that it was money that was collected from the budget that was approved but that they returned at the end of the year based on what they actually spent. He stated that the money that was returned in surplus was from the school returning it based on special needs students that wasn't spent. Mr. F stated that the budget appears higher than what they need. Mrs. Touchette stated that they have to budget for what they know is coming into the school and they can't control what students leave the school so that they returned the money to the town. There was further discussion of the surplus for the last year.

Mr. LaFleur asked Mr. Irzyk to review the spreadsheet that he had distributed at his original presentation. He stated that he requested a copy of the spreadsheet used by DRA and the Town to

move forward to set the tax rate. He stated that the grant monies that were reported to the State were not reflexed in the grant monies that the School Board showed them. He stated that he is trying to figure out how DRA and the School came about with the amount and how the revenue portion came about. He asked Mr. Irzyk to explain where the 4.4 million dollars in grants came from that DRA reported and what will that education grant money be like next year.

A member of the School Board stated that it is based on a percentage of the special education students and that local district pays up to a certain dollar amount and anything above that comes in as an educational grant. She stated that it is Federal money through the State and that they are estimating for the 4 million dollar amount. She stated that it is on the revenue page which shows the estimate for next year was \$4, 143,653 and that they got \$4,397,035 this year and that the actual is listed there for the year before.

Ms. Bickford stated that for the 2013-2014 school year they will have to have 100% proficiency for reading and math and that for this last year it was 95% reading and 94% for math. She stated that 2011-2012 it was 91% in reading and 88% in math proficiency. Mr. LaFleur asked if they were going to go start from the 94% to the 100% and that there is no curve to this. Ms. Bickford stated that it is federal legislation that they are trying to meet.

Mr. Irzyk asked if there were any further questions the Budget Committee needed on the warrant articles for the teacher's and para's contracts. He stated that the board had asked for were the teachers fell for the scale of their salaries. He stated that out of the 129 school districts in the State, Allenstown is ranked at 95 for their salaries.

Mr. Caporale asked about the State average salary and if they know what that is. Mr. Irzyk stated that the State average salary is \$34,023. Mr. Caporale stated that the State released it at \$53,702 as the average salary and that Allenstown's average is \$54,812 based on 37.5 teachers. Mr. Irzyk stated that \$34,023 is the average starting salary.

Mr. Anderson asked Mr. Irzyk what would happen if they were to cut their budget by 2.5% and bring their bottom line done by \$228,640. Mr. Irzyk stated that 2.5% cut would affect the majority of the school through staffing and in programs. He stated that they would not be able to comply with State regulations.

Mr. Anderson asked about the three years leaving and why they have to hire new ones back. Mr. Irzyk stated that they are classroom teachers in order to keep the classroom size. Mrs. Touchette asked how the reduction of three teachers would affect their AYP scores. Mr. Irzyk stated that AYP would suffer and that they would go backwards in scoring.

Mrs. Touchette stated that she was aware that if schools go too long without making AYP that grant monies would be taken away. Mr. Irzyk stated that they haven't been mandated with what would happen if they don't meet those.

Mr. Caporale asked when they get the results of the NECEPS. A member of the School Board stated that they will get them in the end of January but that they won't know about annual progress until March. She stated that if ARD meet the requirements for all testing they will no longer be a school in need.

Mr. LaFleur asked about it being explained that there was a lot of restructuring to the curriculum and the program. Ms. Allen explained that that was because of the fact that they were sinking and that they needed to make changes because they are a school in need of improvement. Mr. LaFleur asked if they were tasked with a reduction, would they have to restructure their curriculum to meet that new change or requirement. Ms. Allen stated that they would have to do so in order to meet those goals. She stated that if they didn't have the staffing they would not have a schedule that would allow for minimal common planning time , they would have larger class sizes, and that it would lead to them turning back the clock as they would not be able to keep up the momentum they have now.

Motion. Mr. Eaton made a motion on Article 1 to accept it as written at \$9,559,397. Mr. McKenney seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-No; Mr. Coolidge-No; Mrs. Merrill-No; Mr. McKenney-No; Mr. Caporale-No; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-No; Mr. Gryval- Abstained; Robin Richards-No; Dave Eaton- No; Mike Frascanella – No; and Ms. Lisa Komm-No; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion failed.

Mr. Gryval abstained because the Board of Selectman does not take a position on the School Board budget. Mr. Irzyk stated that it will go on the ballet that the Budget Committee does not support the proposed School Board budget. Mr. LaFleur stated that they could propose their own budget at the Budget Committee meeting. Mr. Irzyk stated that if the Budget Committee votes to cut the budget now, their budget will go on the ballot in March.

There was discussion of rather or not to open it up to the public for discussion. Mr. Irzyk stated that they should first make the amendments they want and then open it up to the public so that they know what they are discussing.

Motion. Mr. LaFleur made a motion to open it up to discussion to the public with a time limit of 20 minutes. Mr. Anderson seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-No; Mr. Coolidge-No; Mrs. Merrill-No; Mr. McKenney-No; Mr. Caporale-Yes; Mrs. Touchette-No, Mr. LaFleur-Yes; Mr. Gryval- Yes; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- No; Mike Frascanella – No; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion failed.

Motion. Mr. Coolidge made a motion to change the proposed amount to the default budget of \$9,521,193. Mr. McKenney seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-No; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-No; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-No; Mrs. Touchette-No, Mr. LaFleur-No; Mr. Gryval- Abstained; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – No; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion failed.

Mr. LaFleur stated that given both the numbers that were reviewed earlier this year and tonight, they are looking at an increase to the tax dollars of \$6.43 which would bring the new tax rate to \$30.64 per 1,000. He stated that that means that if a resident has an average of \$200,000 their taxes will go up \$1,200 per year. Mr. Irzyk stated that those numbers are skewed because the School returned the money to the town. He stated that the number lowered makes a bigger jump to the taxes. Mr. LaFleur stated that if they did a 7% reduction to the School Board budget it would give a tax rate of roughly \$24.90 per \$1,000 which would be an increase of \$138 versus the \$1200.

Motion. Mr. LaFleur made a motion to cut the proposed School Board budget by 7.5% off of the \$9,657,085. Motion failed for lack of Second.

Motion. Mr. Anderson made a motion to reduce the proposed Article 1 budget by 1.5% for total amount of \$9,378,375. Mrs. Merrill seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-Yes; Mr. Coolidge-No; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-Yes; Mrs. Touchette-No, Mr. LaFleur-No; Mr. Gryval- Abstained; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

1:59:43

Mr. Irzyk explained to the public that means that the Budget Committee number will go on the ballot. He stated that at the deliberative session there can be votes to amend it or take away.

Motion. Mr. Anderson made a motion to open it up to resident discussion. Mr. Caporale seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-Yes; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-Yes; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-Yes; Mr. Gryval-Abstained; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

A resident asked about the number that goes on the ballot and that if that figure gets a no vote, they go to the default budget number. Ms. Touchette explained that when they go to deliberative session, the number approved will be there and if there are any amendments the new number will go on the ballot.

Mr. Gryval stated that what is going to happen is if the budget stays at the amount they just voted on, that will be what they address at the Public Hearing and then brought up again at the Deliberative session and that number will go on the budget. He stated that if the number is the same that will be the number that goes on the ballot but there will also be a default budget on the ballot and people will have a choice. He stated that if people do not vote on it, then it will go to the default budget.

A resident asked if they have made any other cuts beside the school. Mrs. Touchette explained that every town budget was cut this year. She stated that each department came in below default which totals were \$700 over last year's approved budget.

A resident asked where all the money that was cut is going to. Mrs. Touchette stated that this budget will be set on the tax rate next year. Mr. LaFleur explained that it will go up from the \$24.21 this year to the \$30.24 next year.

A resident asked what the tax rate was before the School returned the money. Mrs. Touchette stated that the tax rate was \$27.90. She stated that this is the lowest she has paid in twelve years because of the money that the school returned to the town.

A resident explained that she is very active in the school system because when she first moved to the town, she thought the school system was not good but that has she got more involved she saw that they were making great improvements and changes. She expresses concern for the Budget Committee wanting to cut the School budget so significantly because of the work and effort of the staff. She explained that if they had made those cuts, the students would have lost gym, music, and art. She stated that she doesn't care if her tax rate goes up. Mr. Coolidge asked if she cares about the elderly and the effect it will have on them. She stated that she does care but that the children are going to take care of the elderly.

Mr. LaFleur stated that he does understand everyone's complaints and concerns. He stated that the things that he is looking at are the fact when he was a selectman he watch foreclosures and there were four foreclosures per week for an entire year and that is what he is concerned about.

He stated that he is thinking about the people in the community that don't have a lot of income and don't have the money to pay such a tax increase. He explained that the board asked what would happen if they made cuts in certain areas and if they would be able to restructure things to meet their requirements and that the answer had been yes. A resident stated that they are going to do the best they can with what they have but that the Budget Committee is going to try their hands with what they can do.

Mr. F stated that the board has been struggling to try to balance the needs of each department within the town and the funds to be paid for by all the taxpayers in the town. He stated that there is a need to balance what people can afford before they move out of town and what needs to be spent based on the requirements presented to them by each individual departments. He explained that they are looking at what all the taxpayers can afford and not just what the School needs.

A resident stated that she has students in the school but that she is not a school staff member and that if the Budget Committee does the cuts that they want to they are going to force the school system to go backwards. She stated that they will also have people move out of the town because they would rather pay a little more to have their children in a better school system.

Motion. Mr. Anderson made a motion to close the public hearing. Mr. McKenney seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-Yes; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-Yes; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-Yes; Mr. Gryval-Abstained; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

Mr. Irzyk stated that they need to vote on the Teacher's Contract and the Para's Contract. He stated that it's a vote to approve or not approve. He explained that the first year raise for the teachers will be 3% based on what was approved for the town employees and 1.5% for the rest of the years on the contract. Mr. Irzyk stated that this is the third year that the teachers have gone without a contract or a raise. Mr. LaFleur read Warrant Article II: Teacher's Contract aloud to the residents.

Mr. LaFleur asked if the Green Law goes into effect for this article. Mr. Irzyk stated that it had been repealed. He explained that the EverGreen Law states that if there is a contract that was not voted on, the old contract would stay in place and move forward. He stated that with the EverGreen Law being repealed they don't get anything.

Motion. Mr. LaFleur made a motion to accept the proposal for Article II: Teacher's Contract for the years 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016. Mr. McKenney seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-No; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-No; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-No; Mr. Gryval- Yes; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

Motion. Mr. LaFleur made a motion to support Article III: Paraprofessional Association Contracts for the years 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016. Mr. Coolidge seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-No; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-No; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-Yes; Mr. Gryval- Yes; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

Motion. Mr. Anderson made a motion to take a five minute recess. Mr. Caporale seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-Yes; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-No; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-Yes; Mr. Gryval- Yes; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

Review and Approve Minutes

Mrs. Touchette distributed the minutes for October 4, 2012 and October 25, 2012.

Motion. Mr. Anderson made a motion to accept the minutes for October 4, 2012 as written. Mrs. Merrill seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-Yes; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-Abstained; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-Yes; Mr. Gryval- Yes; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

Motion. Mr. Anderson made a motion to accept the minutes for October 25, 2012 as written. Mr. McKenney seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-No; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Abstained; Mr. Caporale-No; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-Yes; Mr. Gryval- Yes; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

Motion. Mr. Anderson made a motion to adjourn. Mrs. Merrill seconded the Motion.

A Roll Call Vote was taken: Mr. Anderson-Yes; Mr. Coolidge-Yes; Mrs. Merrill-Yes; Mr. McKenney-Yes; Mr. Caporale-Yes; Mrs. Touchette-Yes, Mr. LaFleur-Yes; Mr. Gryval- Yes; Robin Richards-Yes; Dave Eaton- Yes; Mike Frascanella – Yes; and Ms. Lisa Komm-Yes; Mrs. Touchette declared the Motion passed.

The Chair declared the meeting adjourned at 8:54pm.

ERROR: undefined
OFFENDING COMMAND:

STACK: